

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:11.600

Clare Smith

Sure. Thank you. UM, genre. Are you by chance able to go to the next page of the agenda to share the data? OK, cool. Thank you so much.

00:00:12.010 --> 00:00:33.980

Clare Smith

Uhm, alright. So hi everyone. Like I mentioned, my name is Claire. I work with the help lab and as those of you who have been here, no switch back and forth with Jacob from all Chicago each month to present some of the the data for the that's in place since the temporary prioritization would in effect and May of 2020.

00:00:34.580 --> 00:01:04.330

Clare Smith

Uh, so normally we present on, you know, the kind of the stats related to matching and house this month though, I just want to let you know we are only going to be showing the match data because when we were putting this together realized there was some discrepancies with the House that the HMIS team has been made aware of in his hoping to figure out the answers to it. Looks like there might be some problems with the logic. So hopefully will have that back for you next month.

00:01:04.730 --> 00:01:11.820

Clare Smith

But did just want to like give you the heads up that right now you'll just be seeing the match data. So we go to the next page.

00:01:17.540 --> 00:01:27.630

Clare Smith

So this here is the total number of participants matched and then rematch the rematched I think is new as of last month, adding into for the request of the group.

00:01:28.270 --> 00:01:54.250

Clare Smith

Up the numbers of matched art or not drastically different, or there's not like a whole lot of note to point out with this section, just as a reminder, in October 2020 that blue there it's a little bit harder to tell with the pink in there too, but this is when the CARES Act funding started. So that's you know when they were more rat or matches for rapid ESD rapid rehousing.

00:01:56.080 --> 00:02:00.520

Clare Smith

Does anybody have any questions about the number of matches or rematches?

00:02:03.670 --> 00:02:19.400

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

So I'm sorry, I had a call. I have a question about the rematches. When you rematch, what is the and? I'm so Sherry knows this, but she's not here today. When? What is the time frame for re matching is that time frame of it. If there is none.

00:02:06.410 --> 00:02:06.840

Clare Smith

Yeah.

00:02:22.530 --> 00:02:24.340

Clare Smith

Can you explain what you mean by time frame?

00:02:24.630 --> 00:02:25.430

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Well if it.

00:02:26.330 --> 00:02:29.650

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

I'm sorry if an AC we had has that everything that they can.

00:02:30.730 --> 00:02:41.450

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

To locate or get the person, uh housing unit and let's say they reject it. What what's what happens after that? That's something I need to understand a little bit more.

00:02:43.230 --> 00:02:48.680

Clare Smith

Yeah, I don't have the answer to that. I don't it. Does anyone else on the call happen to know or could provide guidance around that?

00:02:48.290 --> 00:02:57.440

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, absolutely. Doctor Susan. UM, so it it's one of those answers that it like, it depends. It depends on a lot #1.

00:02:56.680 --> 00:03:06.060

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

And that's exactly what Sherry says. Join. That's exactly what she said. 'cause. I had one today and I said, OK, share you on vacation? What do I do? OK, so OK.

00:03:01.270 --> 00:03:01.560

Johnna Lowe

It.

00:03:05.260 --> 00:03:35.450

Johnna Lowe

Yeah. I mean, I think number one, UM, the matching team is going to do their due diligence to figure out the why the rematches being requested. Sometimes there's discrepancy between the provider saying we need a rematch and sort of system policy on like according to what you all have told us about your program this, you know, these things aligned in terms of UM program eligibility and that you know, that particular slot that's.

00:03:16.310 --> 00:03:16.720

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Uh-huh.

00:03:35.500 --> 00:03:53.350

Johnna Lowe

Open. It also depends on sort of who else. Based on our at risk prioritization right now would sort of align with the program that need that rematch, right? So like for example, we recently saw a situation where.

00:03:54.350 --> 00:04:02.160

Johnna Lowe

There was a rematch requested and then it was a program that was like SRO for women only, so that you know that kind of.

00:04:01.610 --> 00:04:02.080

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK.

00:04:02.850 --> 00:04:03.320

Johnna Lowe

Come.

00:04:04.150 --> 00:04:34.340

Johnna Lowe

Very. I wanna say narrow, but very, you know, intentional and distinct program eligibility would require, you know, the rise that person or household to sort of come to the top in order to make that that remit. So it's it's not, you know a within three days within four days it really depends on something like who in the system is in need of housing at that time based on the prioritization. And then just the understanding of like why the rematches requested in the first place.

00:04:09.040 --> 00:04:09.410

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Uh-huh.

00:04:17.050 --> 00:04:17.460

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK.

00:04:22.280 --> 00:04:22.750

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK.

00:04:34.880 --> 00:04:36.390

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK. Thank you. That helps.

00:04:36.760 --> 00:04:37.610

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, absolutely.

00:04:37.120 --> 00:05:01.990

Beth Horwitz

Can I ask a quick follow up question? Johnna, I know there is a timeline that the matching team has for the initial matches of like how quickly after a match is requested they provide an answer. Is there. Is that also true for the like when a rematch request is made, does the provider get or rematch sent to them within a certain time horizon or is that also sort of a?

00:04:40.370 --> 00:04:40.700

Johnna Lowe

Yeah.

00:05:03.150 --> 00:05:04.340

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, I mean it it.

00:05:03.480 --> 00:05:04.690

Beth Horwitz

Sometimes it varies.

00:05:05.170 --> 00:05:17.360

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, did it. You know, it's it's the response would come as soon. Like, there's acknowledgement of the rematch request. And then there's like, the actual rematch happening so.

00:05:18.150 --> 00:05:28.360

Johnna Lowe

Uhm, I think that I think, and I you know, we can pull up the CES policy and procedure Manual which is being worked right now reworked right now, but uhm.

00:05:20.470 --> 00:05:21.020

Beth Horwitz

Thanks.

00:05:29.100 --> 00:05:36.540

Johnna Lowe

I think it would say like 2 day within like 48 hour turn around, but I would lean on our.

00:05:36.810 --> 00:05:41.820

Johnna Lowe

Come matching team expertise. Who I don't think it's on the call at this point.

00:05:41.420 --> 00:05:56.320

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

I it answered my question. Basically a because a series on vacation and and this person just she's going

to work with him when it come back or tears here but they don't want to live on the South side so that that would that's what I answered my question OK.

00:05:56.650 --> 00:06:17.060

Johnna Lowe

Yeah. And I'm like, OK, that's a great example for us is so you, if you all have a scattered site model, right? Does that mean that the person can't find units or look for units on the South side? And I think that's sort of where the discrepancy, you know, as sort of like system holders and and and policy under C No.

00:06:05.310 --> 00:06:06.120

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

I was gonna fight.

00:06:15.250 --> 00:06:15.720

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Right.

00:06:17.330 --> 00:06:19.020

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Right. We have a scatter site.

00:06:17.650 --> 00:06:19.000

Johnna Lowe

Uh folks that are trying to.

00:06:20.010 --> 00:06:33.900

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Yeah, we are scattered site model with works better for us and and then and they're all over really you know southeast side and then we just got one at Southwest side, but there are people that just don't want to live nowhere on the South side, I don't care.

00:06:20.180 --> 00:06:20.670

Johnna Lowe

Yeah.

00:06:27.060 --> 00:06:27.280

Johnna Lowe

Right.

00:06:29.230 --> 00:06:29.940

Johnna Lowe

Right. So like.

00:06:31.700 --> 00:06:32.050

Johnna Lowe

Yep.

00:06:34.620 --> 00:06:44.570

Johnna Lowe

Right. So I think like a system response to that would be well, can you know what's the approach to find units on the north side or in other parts of the town?

00:06:34.810 --> 00:06:35.660

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

How it looks?

00:06:45.690 --> 00:06:59.580

Johnna Lowe

For that particular person, that doesn't mean. Does that mean you need a rematch, or does that mean how can we support you all? And finding units on the North and West side of the city? And so I think that's sort of where the back and forth happens.

00:07:01.130 --> 00:07:11.800

Johnna Lowe

In in understanding what that what that means to say this person doesn't want to work with us 'cause they 'cause all of our relationships are here, but we're like, can you expand those relationships and other places?

00:07:11.250 --> 00:07:13.980

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Gotcha. That's something we need to think about. Thank you.

00:07:14.510 --> 00:07:14.920

Johnna Lowe

Yeah.

00:07:20.600 --> 00:07:21.850

Clare Smith

Chris, you had a question?

00:07:25.210 --> 00:07:26.280

chris ohara (Guest)

Yes, Sir. Thank you.

00:07:26.950 --> 00:07:36.120

chris ohara (Guest)

Uh, and that was a very good conversation. Uh, in point there. Sandra, I'm curious though. I see you have March data in here.

00:07:36.740 --> 00:07:45.020

chris ohara (Guest)

I obviously we're not done with merge, so I'm guessing by the numbers this is only reflect maybe half of March or is it even less of that?

00:07:46.010 --> 00:07:52.640

Clare Smith

Yes, thank you for pointing that out. Yeah. So it's it's even less than that. So this is all of the data up to March 7th.

00:07:54.320 --> 00:08:04.720

chris ohara (Guest)

OK, 'cause. I'm gonna say that's an incredibly low rematch compared to other months. You know, obviously the the main number is lower, but I'm like, well only one.

00:07:59.260 --> 00:07:59.670

Clare Smith

Yeah.

00:08:06.150 --> 00:08:15.010

Clare Smith

Yeah, like we just need to keep doing that. No. Yeah. So that was up to March 7th. Only one person who was also matched in March was rematched.

00:08:15.670 --> 00:08:45.880

chris ohara (Guest)

OK. And I had a brief question with the Hotel Julien closing, I I had to do some deep in research on this through several media outlets that I think 117 folks were still actually there right before it closed. My understanding is DFSS says they're keeping tabs with people that are in other shelters or the makeshift shelters that were schools. But is that something that coordinated entry leadership team is looking at to making sure that those folks that.

00:08:46.180 --> 00:08:50.910

chris ohara (Guest)

We're on a path or very close to a path to being housed. Uh, don't get lost.

00:08:51.620 --> 00:09:07.370

Christine Riley

Hi, it's Christine Riley. We're actually uh from DFSS. We are actually monitoring those up for at least from the expedited housing initiative. Those who were connected to that program, we are still monitoring to make sure they get housed.

00:09:08.130 --> 00:09:10.520

Christine Riley

Uhm coordinated entry. UM.

00:09:11.120 --> 00:09:28.060

Christine Riley

We it like if there's somebody we can connect two we we would monitor them as well if we can get the list who has been matched to housing but we get a weekly list from all Chicago in regards to those who were, UM, who's connected to expedited housing initiative. So yes, we are monitoring those.

00:09:28.640 --> 00:09:42.470

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

So Christine, that's a good question. And and and right now we're getting ready to hit the summer and and things like that and but you and I know we worked together the winter hits, is there any plans to?

00:09:43.120 --> 00:09:50.830

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Do something similar to a hotel, Julian, because we have such shelter bed shortages, or you probably don't have the answer to that.

00:09:50.760 --> 00:09:52.290

Christine Riley

I don't have the answer right now.

00:09:52.510 --> 00:09:52.920

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK.

00:09:53.280 --> 00:10:00.110

Christine Riley

Because it was about funding we received from, you know, as you know it will, we paid for Hotel Julian through federal government funding so.

00:09:58.480 --> 00:09:58.950

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Uh-huh.

00:10:00.260 --> 00:10:00.690

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

Uh-huh.

00:10:01.490 --> 00:10:01.910

Dr.Sanja Rickette Stinson (Guest)

OK.

00:10:02.520 --> 00:10:02.900

Colleen Mahoney

Christy.

00:10:02.950 --> 00:10:22.420

Colleen Mahoney

I know. Hi. This is calling. I know. Uh, you know, there were several amps at the hotel in the last, you know, month or two of it. How many people were actually, you know, return to location to other and then housing at the end of the hotel? Was it 100 plus?

00:10:23.520 --> 00:10:25.030

Christine Riley

What do you mean no, uhm.

00:10:26.090 --> 00:10:37.860

Christine Riley

I will have to get those numbers. We had very low numbers out of the 175 who was there like early January. I think it was less than 20 where we did not have come.

00:10:38.450 --> 00:10:47.710

Christine Riley

Uh, location for them. You know, like they left? They said they were leaving, you know? So we did. We we didn't know where they were actually going. It was less than 20 people, I believe.

00:10:48.270 --> 00:11:02.270

Christine Riley

Uhm, I will have to get the numbers of exactly who all went to like how many? The number from, you know, who went to EHI, who was returned to shelter? I don't have that in front of me now. So we'll have to get that for you. But we do have we did keep that detail.

00:11:02.930 --> 00:11:04.520

Colleen Mahoney

Yeah. No, that's super helpful.

00:11:03.350 --> 00:11:11.180

Christine Riley

Is that is that that answer your question? Yeah. Yeah. We had a very detailed since this tracking list. So we had that information.

00:11:05.150 --> 00:11:07.160

Colleen Mahoney

I had just say I know Chris.

00:11:10.190 --> 00:11:23.840

Colleen Mahoney

Yeah, yeah, I know. I know. Chris had mentioned seeing something like 120 people there, but I do remember I, you know, I think that sense as being a lot lower as people were moving into housing and yeah.

00:11:23.120 --> 00:11:35.350

Christine Riley

Yeah. I mean, yeah, we at one point we had 175 people with the the 75 we had to add it to the 100 year had already had for shielding. But of course you know as we were transitioning down, the numbers went lower so.

00:11:37.210 --> 00:11:40.870

Christine Riley

But yeah, but we we have everybody accounted for where they.

00:11:41.470 --> 00:11:48.200

Christine Riley

Where they went, except for I think maybe like 20 to 25 people. I I don't. I think I know. I remember seeing like 17 at one point.

00:11:48.790 --> 00:11:50.440

Christine Riley

That number may have gone up by a few.

00:11:51.230 --> 00:11:51.780

Christine Riley

Uhm.

00:11:55.310 --> 00:11:55.800

Christine Riley

But yeah.

00:11:59.000 --> 00:12:11.540

Christine Riley

And like I said, we are tracking we DFSS, we've emailed, I eat well. Yeah. Are my team. We emailed the shelter providers who received people back into their programs.

00:12:12.150 --> 00:12:21.890

Christine Riley

I'm introducing them to the Allchicago team, introducing them to the case managers or the housing liaisons who had their clients along with the HMIS number, so they knew.

00:12:22.500 --> 00:12:28.910

Christine Riley

Uhm, that this was important, that we wanted that continuation of their housing process. So now they're connected to those housing liaisons.

00:12:31.760 --> 00:12:48.190

chris ohara (Guest)

And thank you for that update. Christine up there was another thing that was in the WBZ article I remembered where the outlet was from where more McCauley and Brandy, Brandy Kanazi were both quoted on different things and they were one of the things they were also discussing.

00:12:48.890 --> 00:12:54.900

chris ohara (Guest)

What is a? If they were going to look at hotel areas in other parts of the city, it was going to be more of a conversion.

00:12:55.680 --> 00:13:10.410

chris ohara (Guest)

More that would be more lead towards more permanent or at least not just running out rooms for awhile. Be more likely an acquisition. Is there been any progress on that kind of thing or is that still like needs development?

00:13:10.750 --> 00:13:22.970

Christine Riley

Yeah, Chris and I can contact you or email you out. You know, outside of this meeting, 'cause, I know we

have an agenda, but yeah, that's a part of our ERP funding, additional funding through the American recovery plan that we have.

00:13:24.280 --> 00:13:27.950

Christine Riley

So I can email you outside so we can get back to the agenda. Thanks.

00:13:27.310 --> 00:13:44.300

chris ohara (Guest)

Thank you, Christine. Just a lot of times, it's really hard to get that anywhere in into a committee meeting, meeting and board meetings are kind of very ceremonious for public comment. You have to sign up in advance now. So it's kind of hard to get that subject addressed, appreciate the flexibility.

00:13:49.370 --> 00:13:49.840

Angela Brooks

Great.

00:13:49.760 --> 00:13:50.210

Clare Smith

Alright.

00:13:50.810 --> 00:14:06.140

Clare Smith

So go ahead and keep moving forward through this. So next is, you know the time matched to housed. So it is currently at 65 days. So that's between a person being matched, the housing provider and then moving into housing.

00:14:08.050 --> 00:14:31.800

Clare Smith

I will go to the next one. Uhm, I I'm not going to go through in depth with all of the demographic information just because it is pretty similar. Actually I don't think any of the percentages have changed. The only the total matches like the numbers have changed, but otherwise all of the percentages are the same as they were during last month's presentation.

00:14:32.390 --> 00:14:35.840

Clare Smith

Uhm, go to the next slide.

00:14:35.890 --> 00:14:43.480

Clare Smith

Made up in the same was for gender as well. The all of those percentages also remains the same.

00:14:49.490 --> 00:15:03.080

Clare Smith

And then with veteran status as well, those of the stayed the same with these. I did just want to open it up. Did anyone have any questions or particular things that they wanted to talk to related to the race, ethnicity, gender or veteran status?

00:15:09.810 --> 00:15:19.860

Clare Smith

OK, so next time we'll talk a little bit about set asides. So similarly these also have not changed since last month.

00:15:21.390 --> 00:15:50.980

Clare Smith

One thing in particular, though, that I just wanted to point out to the group was with the on the next slide there. Well, actually first let me say that we are still going above and beyond our set asides. So for youth, we have the 10% set asides, it's actually 28% of matches for families, it's 20% set aside, it's actually 23%. And then for unsheltered, we have a 10% set aside, but it's 40% of matches are unsheltered folks. So we are doing a good job on that.

00:15:51.240 --> 00:15:55.740

Clare Smith

And keeping a close eye, particularly in the family one because it is the closest. UM.

00:15:56.450 --> 00:16:01.420

Clare Smith

One so like it's actually set aside, but still have been above that number.

00:16:02.040 --> 00:16:09.780

Clare Smith

But one thing I just wanted to share with the group that I thought was kind of interesting on the families. So it's on the next slide there.

00:16:10.130 --> 00:16:40.240

Clare Smith

Uhm, so the numbers are still pretty steady come with like the what percentage of matches families are. But one thing that I thought was interesting is the actual percentage of all households experiencing homelessness that our families has gone down. So last month it was a little over 9% of households experiencing homelessness, where families and as you can see this month it's down to 6%.

00:16:40.820 --> 00:16:41.550

Clare Smith

Uhm.

00:16:42.340 --> 00:16:49.330

Clare Smith

So yeah, I just wanted to flag that for the I don't have like reasoning as to why it has gone down, but I just want stuff like that there.

00:16:53.030 --> 00:16:54.140

Clare Smith

Yes, Chris.

00:16:57.750 --> 00:17:08.930

chris ohara (Guest)

Yeah, perhaps one of the reasons why that's gone down is in the winter months, sometimes people are, yeah, get doubled up and other things that could be a potential reason, but.

00:17:09.560 --> 00:17:12.800

chris ohara (Guest)

Another thing I wanted to bring up was we just recently had our.

00:17:13.960 --> 00:17:24.750

chris ohara (Guest)

CLC competition announcement and a lot of people don't think about it 'cause they they they see the rent, rent increases and HUD usually do is does approve them but.

00:17:25.410 --> 00:17:57.240

chris ohara (Guest)

The more that has to go towards rent rental increases is less money that you could have available for new projects or even sometimes my squeeze out in existing project depending on what your CLC ranking is at and how you rank those individual projects. So one of the thoughts I had was I think is as a collective COC we had to do a much better job of making sure people really have somewhere affordable to go 'cause. Otherwise you're gonna have harder. It's gonna be harder to time to find available units for those families.

00:17:57.570 --> 00:18:04.040

chris ohara (Guest)

That would be multiple bedrooms without it being market rate and really almost borderline out of control.

00:18:07.630 --> 00:18:10.780

Clare Smith

Thank you for that, Chris. I think next then was Megan.

00:18:13.100 --> 00:18:22.690

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Yeah, I think, Clare, I was just wondering if somebody holds like multiple or even all of those identities like the head of household, the youth, they're a family and their unsheltered which like.

00:18:23.470 --> 00:18:24.800

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Bucket. They get put into.

00:18:26.390 --> 00:18:50.250

Clare Smith

So they would be put into each bucket, UM 'cause. When you're looking at this, you're like looking. Yeah, like it's not where you're just put into one versus the other. It would be put into each of them. So the first one is looking like of everyone's age is what percentage of the matches are that. The next one is looking of everyone's households. What is it like that? So each one is done individually.

00:18:54.040 --> 00:18:54.890

Clare Smith

Play that helps.

00:18:58.030 --> 00:19:00.960

Clare Smith

Uhm. And then, Beth, I think you have your hand raised.

00:19:02.370 --> 00:19:17.400

Beth Horwitz

Yeah. Just in terms of that, this 6% families, uhm, I would take that as a minimum percentage, not a that there are only what we see in the data is an enormous number of people.

00:19:18.100 --> 00:19:36.030

Beth Horwitz

Who come in and have enrollments with multiple family compositions behind them and we have no way to know which is true. So just to say 6% or families and 60% sixty, 2% of people in the COC.

00:19:36.890 --> 00:20:06.960

Beth Horwitz

Have a information that gives us no confidence in what their family composition maybe. So just to say we know certainly that there are people who go to one agency and say I'm a single person and then somewhere else they have a person with a kid. And in those situations we don't know which ones, which one is the category. And so they're in a known category of multiple enrollments with multiple family compositions. So I again this 6% I would take is the minimum.

00:20:07.000 --> 00:20:28.330

Beth Horwitz

Not not a maximum because folks, you know, have have different groupings depending on what project they're pursuing, which I know providers experience all the time. But we think that the 6% by itself just wanted to emphasize that other part of what the data doesn't doesn't tell us a fully about about folks.

00:20:28.400 --> 00:20:28.830

Beth Horwitz

Come.

00:20:29.470 --> 00:20:30.420

Beth Horwitz

Family composition.

00:20:31.730 --> 00:20:34.260

Clare Smith

Thank you so much for that. I was really, really helpful.

00:20:35.510 --> 00:20:36.020

Clare Smith

Colleen.

00:20:38.470 --> 00:20:48.080

Colleen Mahoney

So just to ask Beth or I don't know if this is a uh Beth question, but so if someone is in, in HMIS has kind of multiple.

00:20:48.930 --> 00:20:58.080

Colleen Mahoney

Uh, or conflicting reportings of their family status. How does that reflect on the dashboard? Or they counted as a family or or not?

00:20:57.810 --> 00:20:58.390

Beth Horwitz

No.

00:20:58.990 --> 00:21:08.740

Beth Horwitz

On the dashboard, there's a third category or 4th category called multiple family composition or Unknown family composition. 62% of Heads of Household fall into that.

00:20:59.000 --> 00:20:59.430

Colleen Mahoney

OK.

00:21:09.520 --> 00:21:10.750

Colleen Mahoney

OK, got it. Thanks.

00:21:11.980 --> 00:21:42.950

Beth Horwitz

There are all sorts of this disagreeing data points in HMIS. Sometimes we feel like, given what we know about service or a, there is a way to sort of assume information about folks about the quality of the data and in family composition. That didn't feel like an assumption we could make 'cause we know people come with different constellations of people to seek services in different places, and there's there's no way to understand unmasked, which one is true and which one is not true. And so we didn't.

00:21:43.000 --> 00:21:49.250

Beth Horwitz

Make a decision. We are representing the data that is in the system and a lot. A lot of people.

00:21:50.280 --> 00:21:54.190

Beth Horwitz

Come to us in different situations for different project types.

00:22:00.370 --> 00:22:00.820

Clare Smith

Chris.

00:22:02.730 --> 00:22:06.260

chris ohara (Guest)

Yeah. Clare, I'm wondering to what what Brett mentioned.

00:22:07.540 --> 00:22:11.700

chris ohara (Guest)

I don't know. I'll say this now, 'cause. I'm not sure everyone knows it. I don't think it's changed.

00:22:12.280 --> 00:22:19.290

chris ohara (Guest)

HUD, I believe, will still house people together as a family if they declare themselves as a family, even if they're not all related.

00:22:20.150 --> 00:22:44.080

chris ohara (Guest)

And my understanding up to a certain number and so you could have two or three people that aren't actually technically familiar blood situation if they knew that they all went into the system together. Now a lot of times it doesn't happen. But I do know it happens in some COC is especially in rural COC. So I'm curious as that's something that would.

00:22:45.300 --> 00:22:54.950

chris ohara (Guest)

Uh, does that happen in Chicago? And is that something that would maybe make it more difficult to find where someone actually sits in family composition? Thank you.

00:22:58.670 --> 00:23:02.980

Beth Horwitz

The first part I would defer to providers. Uh because we don't.

00:23:03.550 --> 00:23:17.260

Beth Horwitz

I don't. I don't have context on whether or not households are related to each other. We are looking into a family. Is any enrollment that has a person over 18 and a person under 18.

00:23:18.320 --> 00:23:48.230

Beth Horwitz

Beyond that, we don't. We don't have the knowledge when we're running the data to know what their relationship is. There are also we have as a breakout on the dashboard households where there are two at people over 18 and no people under 18 which make up 5% of the people in the system. So we we again were the dashboard reflects what people put in but nothing about. Again what we see in the HMIS again from the system end would tell us about the blood relationships.

00:23:48.880 --> 00:23:57.580

Beth Horwitz

I can't speak to what happens in terms of once a match is made and what questions might occur, but I I don't imagine that there's a lot of checking of.

00:23:58.390 --> 00:24:04.750

Beth Horwitz

Blood relationships, except perhaps for Anita around custody. But I again, don't that. That's out of my expertise.

00:24:05.240 --> 00:24:10.760

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, I just to speak on that point or to piggyback off what you said, Beth, I think you know as sort of.

00:24:11.890 --> 00:24:38.080

Johnna Lowe

You know, working with the matching team, there's been some discrepancy and that point to right, like we've had providers say we can't serve these people because you know the there are two adults and there's like, you know, they're like we're family provider and then like, well, if there if this is how they are defining their family, you know, who are we to say it's not taking the guidance from HUD taking the guidance from other you know from like housing authorities.

00:24:39.750 --> 00:24:44.460

Johnna Lowe

And so it's it's kind of a sticking point, but you know I think.

00:24:45.190 --> 00:24:54.840

Johnna Lowe

Sort of. The the goal is that if people are coming to the table saying this is my family and we are in need of housing as long as there is no.

00:24:57.810 --> 00:25:22.180

Johnna Lowe

Program funded criteria that negates that. Then we are looking to support those housing providers and housing knows those family compositions as they determine themselves. But it's kind of a sticky situation sort of moving from antiquated definitions of how our families to like, understanding our current realities in the world as the world is evolving.

00:25:23.910 --> 00:25:35.460

chris ohara (Guest)

It johnna, I had a follow up question. I I appreciate you mentioning that I didn't think it would be an easy situation, but now that the COC and the Board have decided that they want to take on.

00:25:36.120 --> 00:25:36.570

chris ohara (Guest)

Uh.

00:25:38.150 --> 00:25:55.480

chris ohara (Guest)

How many units are being used and my thoughts are if there's a programs agencies out there that are saying they technically can't help somebody, could that be also hurting our system and keeping units open much longer than they need to be?

00:25:56.490 --> 00:26:18.540

Johnna Lowe

Oh, absolutely. I just. I see Justin. Justine just chimed in the chat and I would sort of defer to her as we've had some of those experiences and have sort of as a sort of system facilitator try to, you know, challenge or or pushback on that where it's gotten to the sticking points. So we're hoping that this, uhm, COC.

00:26:18.590 --> 00:26:49.640

Johnna Lowe

A motion around PSH utilization that it will incorporate the accountability for providers in like some discrimination. In fact, you know, we've seen folks saying we can't house you 'cause you're undocumented, it's like well where, where did that LA come from? So I think we're hoping that as the board and all Chicago sort of finalize and and fill in the details of that line of action that these are things that can be incorporated in. Like I said I'll defer to Justine.

00:26:50.310 --> 00:26:51.040

Johnna Lowe

Jump into.

00:26:53.720 --> 00:26:58.270

Justine Allenbach

Yeah. Thanks, John. I can speak to this a little bit and you've mentioned.

00:26:59.160 --> 00:27:06.270

Justine Allenbach

Mostly what I would say already, but to you know Chris, bringing this up, we do see how.

00:27:07.150 --> 00:27:10.020

Justine Allenbach

Housing providers that are unwilling or.

00:27:11.280 --> 00:27:25.810

Justine Allenbach

I I would say sometimes being discriminatory against non conventional families can impact the rate that we see in terms of how quickly someone can move into housing or this probably effects our rematch rates in general.

00:27:28.010 --> 00:27:29.980

Justine Allenbach

It in it impacts.

00:27:30.640 --> 00:28:00.550

Justine Allenbach

How our system effectively and equitably houses people, and we do have providers that are not aware or making sure they're fully practicing things such as HUD's equal access rule and there's some places where this gets complicated. But to provide an example, we have had housing providers say that they cannot house a household that is made up of two adults because they have to serve families and then we.

00:28:00.960 --> 00:28:18.010

Justine Allenbach

Will go back and forth a bit sometimes in those cases, because HUD's equal access rule is really intended to protect non traditional families, which specifically exist, especially in LGBTQ communities, and so.

00:28:18.810 --> 00:28:26.440

Justine Allenbach

That's where HUD's equal access rule comes in, and it should apply for a situation where 2 adults should be considered a family.

00:28:27.230 --> 00:28:29.480

Justine Allenbach

So when housing providers say that they.

00:28:30.140 --> 00:28:44.700

Justine Allenbach

Will not House 2 adults in any sense. It can be really difficult because people don't want to leave their person that they are with while they're experiencing homelessness. So we do see this as a problem a lot the complications that.

00:28:45.470 --> 00:29:16.380

Justine Allenbach

Come into it as well is when agencies or housing providers have other funding sources that might conflict with things such as has equal access rule. So with some providers that we've had back and forth with about housing 2 adults and considering them as a family, they've said that they have DFSS funding or contracts and other ways that say they have to serve a certain amount of minors.

00:29:16.430 --> 00:29:30.370

Justine Allenbach

In a year, so sometimes that legal Gray area gets confusing and it's something I think we need to tackle more when we dive more into PSH utilization.

00:29:30.420 --> 00:29:51.610

Justine Allenbach

Uhm, but I'm happy to talk about HUD's equal access rule anytime HUD from equal access rule trainings I've attended is very adamant that any program receiving even a dollar of HUD funding is held to that rule. And I do think RC OC is not is not adhering to it as best we can.

00:29:52.970 --> 00:30:00.960

Justine Allenbach

Figure out the idea of braided funding as John is putting in the chat is definitely something to address with PSH utilization because.

00:30:01.650 --> 00:30:11.920

Justine Allenbach

If we find that that has equal access rule would take precedent or should be the guiding principle regardless of other contracts, then we're way off I would say.

00:30:12.580 --> 00:30:13.070

Justine Allenbach

So.

00:30:14.560 --> 00:30:15.860

Justine Allenbach

Thanks for bringing this up.

00:30:26.180 --> 00:30:41.400

Clare Smith

So if we if just concluding with this, so at the data part, the unsheltered, there is not a whole lot of difference is there either. So I know we have gone way over time for this, but I do just want it as anyone else have any other questions related to.

00:30:41.920 --> 00:30:46.700

Clare Smith

Uhm, this data? Otherwise I can hand it off to whoever is next on the agenda.

00:30:50.970 --> 00:30:52.260

Angela Brooks

Thank you, Claire.

00:30:52.810 --> 00:30:53.320

Clare Smith

Thank you.

00:30:53.850 --> 00:31:00.010

Angela Brooks

It's always hot, always hard on our agenda. Slate, the time 'cause. We always go places I don't anticipate.

00:31:01.790 --> 00:31:14.950

Angela Brooks

So one of the things we've been talking about a lot as the DV outside of this meeting, so ronti is here and will give and a status update. And I think she's still here. Oh, there she is.

00:31:17.000 --> 00:31:21.330

Angela Brooks

And John, I put her ronti aperture presentation in the PowerPoint so Johnna can just.

00:31:20.780 --> 00:31:22.420

Ronti Ghosh

Oh, OK, cool.

00:31:22.050 --> 00:31:23.360

Angela Brooks

Connect me next slide.

00:31:23.910 --> 00:31:34.300

Ronti Ghosh

That's that's great. So I will probably go off camera, I'm having some connectivity issues as I go through my updates and.

00:31:33.110 --> 00:31:34.480

Angela Brooks

Maybe I didn't like that, yes.

00:31:35.290 --> 00:31:48.120

Ronti Ghosh

I can. I can share my screen. I can share my screen if that's OK. Yeah. But if uh, Angela, if you could keep an eye on the chat in case someone is asking questions on the chat, but feel free to come off mute and ask questions as I present.

00:31:37.660 --> 00:31:38.070

Angela Brooks

OK.

00:31:48.450 --> 00:31:51.680

Angela Brooks

OK, so you'll share your screen, Johnna can stop sharing hers. Perfect.

00:31:52.020 --> 00:31:52.410

Ronti Ghosh

Yep.

00:31:53.290 --> 00:31:54.280

Ronti Ghosh

Alright.

00:32:00.620 --> 00:32:02.970

Ronti Ghosh

And can everyone see the screen?

00:32:06.510 --> 00:32:06.920

Ronti Ghosh

Yes.

00:32:08.530 --> 00:32:10.700

Angela Brooks

It's kind of small, so you need to.

00:32:08.740 --> 00:32:09.530

Clare Smith

Yes, we can.

00:32:11.610 --> 00:32:12.380

Ronti Ghosh

OK, cool.

00:32:11.980 --> 00:32:13.830

Angela Brooks

I can't be the only one who can't see that.

00:32:16.240 --> 00:32:18.050

Ronti Ghosh

You can you can or cannot see it.

00:32:18.510 --> 00:32:19.960

Angela Brooks

It's really tiny.

00:32:20.580 --> 00:32:21.230

Ronti Ghosh

OK.

00:32:20.620 --> 00:32:22.970

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, we can see it. Can you enlarge?

00:32:23.190 --> 00:32:23.480

Beth Horwitz

Yeah.

00:32:23.330 --> 00:32:23.760

Angela Brooks

Yeah.

00:32:24.850 --> 00:32:28.440

Beth Horwitz

But I think I think the operative word being we can see it but not read it.

00:32:29.000 --> 00:32:29.610

Angela Brooks

There you go.

00:32:30.380 --> 00:32:31.870

Ronti Ghosh

Can you see it better now?

00:32:31.970 --> 00:32:32.620

Angela Brooks

Yes.

00:32:32.450 --> 00:32:32.890

Johnna Lowe
Perfect.

00:32:33.660 --> 00:33:03.850

Ronti Ghosh

OK, awesome. So the 1st update is you know by the numbers and to do that I'm going to switch over to a different screen and this is from a dashboard that we generate every month with the end, the first tab actually just has the high level numbers and I'll go through this one first and will share this link to this with this whole group. So you can play around with the rest of the tabs as well. But this is the first tab really shows the aggregate numbers. So as of March.

00:33:03.900 --> 00:33:15.720

Ronti Ghosh

2nd we have assessed 679 households within the TV system. We've House 431 we had.

00:33:14.630 --> 00:33:19.980

Beth Horwitz

Keep ronti. So sorry to jump in and ask, but since when?

00:33:16.390 --> 00:33:16.780

Ronti Ghosh

Yes.

00:33:20.350 --> 00:33:21.960

Ronti Ghosh

Oh, very good at good question.

00:33:20.890 --> 00:33:23.120

Beth Horwitz

Rachel as of March 2nd but when starting.

00:33:23.810 --> 00:33:25.900

Ronti Ghosh

Since December of 2019.

00:33:26.780 --> 00:33:27.190

Beth Horwitz

OK.

00:33:28.140 --> 00:33:58.550

Ronti Ghosh

Up, we actually started doing assessments towards the end of October, but we really started referring to housing providers started really ramping up in accepting referrals from December of 2019. But that was a good question. Thanks for asking. So we've house 431 households. Are we currently have 49 households on the waitlist not currently as of March 2nd country actually have less red, less than that it's hasn't been matched yet. There is 59.

00:33:58.800 --> 00:34:14.840

Ronti Ghosh

Souls that are in have been matched in the process of getting housed, and this 140 that are inactive and brain activity mean that we were not able to write their content them for a period of 60 days or they found housing through a different source or they moved out of state.

00:34:14.890 --> 00:34:38.900

Ronti Ghosh

But, but even someone who's inactive can get reactivate themselves on the waitlist at any time if they want to, because a lot of times I'm we do lose touch with someone and then they will return and call us back. And then they just cut, reactivate it. And you know, they they move through the pending match status. So this. So this is the aggregate numbers but if you go to the other tabs. But I'm not going to go through these.

00:34:40.130 --> 00:34:40.660

Ronti Ghosh

Happened.

00:34:42.630 --> 00:35:12.200

Ronti Ghosh

I'm not going to go through these in details today, but you can go through the other tabs and you can filter this data by, you know, day, month by race, by ethnicity, gender or age group, gender, household size. So you can you can, you can just click like you want to see household size 3 and you can just see the numbers based on that. You can also go up here and filter by year, month.

00:35:12.690 --> 00:35:16.000

Ronti Ghosh

Uh, event, meaning it's just housed in active matched.

00:35:16.850 --> 00:35:18.270

Ronti Ghosh

Or by referral source.

00:35:19.510 --> 00:35:24.610

Ronti Ghosh

The next tab also you can do the same thing of filtering but more.

00:35:24.250 --> 00:35:29.460

Johnna Lowe

Ronti 90, there was a question in the chat if you could go back to the first sheet.

00:35:30.000 --> 00:35:30.810

Ronti Ghosh

Yep, sure.

00:35:32.190 --> 00:35:34.560

Ronti Ghosh

The first one, the program status.

00:35:37.640 --> 00:35:41.430

Johnna Lowe

I'm gonna defer to the with Shonda about exactly what's.

00:35:42.040 --> 00:35:50.510

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

Hey ronti, it was the first sheet that you had on the screen that folks were saying it was too small and then you enlarged it and it went away real quick. I was trying to take a look at that.

00:35:51.130 --> 00:35:59.720

Ronti Ghosh

I'm gonna get right back to it. I just wanted to kind of go through the numbers with you, and I'm gonna go right back to it in, like, in a minute or so. Is that OK?

00:35:53.940 --> 00:35:54.450

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

OK.

00:35:56.290 --> 00:35:57.140

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

Thank you.

00:36:02.170 --> 00:36:03.420

Ronti Ghosh

Alright, I'll.

00:36:02.620 --> 00:36:04.590

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

Yes, yes. Monday. That's good, yes.

00:36:02.720 --> 00:36:03.510

chris ohara (Guest)

Hey ronti.

00:36:04.260 --> 00:36:04.650

Ronti Ghosh

Yes.

00:36:05.490 --> 00:36:26.630

chris ohara (Guest)

This is Chris. You couldn't see my hand at a chat. Perhaps I should or or up, but I. Perhaps I should have went in the chat like Lashonda did. It has to do with that first slide you had with 140 inactive. How? How long is somebody considered an active within DV 'cause. I I know what the coordinated entry is for other populations. I just wasn't sure what it is for DV.

00:36:09.980 --> 00:36:10.270

Ronti Ghosh

I.

00:36:16.610 --> 00:36:17.080

Ronti Ghosh

Uh-huh.

00:36:18.260 --> 00:36:18.770

Ronti Ghosh

Uh-huh.

00:36:27.420 --> 00:36:57.760

Ronti Ghosh

So when they're inactive up there, we don't have a time frame for them that they can be active only for so much time because DV has been hasn't been around that long just about two years, just a little over two years. So we don't have a set time that they're inactive for this amount of time. So they at this at this point, they can come back. So somebody who became inactive maybe in you know January of 2020 can reconnect with us and be activated on our waitlist.

00:36:58.170 --> 00:37:05.200

Ronti Ghosh

Uh, so we don't have, you know, of test set time at this point. Does that answer your question?

00:37:03.900 --> 00:37:04.150

chris ohara (Guest)

I.

00:37:05.370 --> 00:37:16.240

chris ohara (Guest)

It does, but I also I'm curious what makes them go inactive in the 1st place then? Is it because they get reached out to and they don't respond because that I'm just curious what provokes the inactivity.

00:37:14.000 --> 00:37:14.790

Ronti Ghosh

Yeah.

00:37:16.720 --> 00:37:47.470

Ronti Ghosh

Yeah, that's that's a great question too. So usually people become inactive when we're not able to contact them and we tried to contact them for period 60 days before making them inactive. And our way of Columbia, we have their phone number and email of course, but we also contact their service provider. We have information on their alternate contacts, we contact them. So it's through contacting different sources and we do, we continue to do that for 60 days before we mark someone inactive. So that's the most common.

00:37:47.530 --> 00:38:03.710

Ronti Ghosh

Reason for someone becoming inactive. But sometimes people will become inactive because they found a, you know, housing outside of CES or they moved to a different state or they're just not, you know, no longer interested in this program and maybe they don't want to disclose why.

00:38:04.780 --> 00:38:07.470

Ronti Ghosh

So, but most of the time it's because we can't reach them.

00:38:08.210 --> 00:38:11.490

chris ohara (Guest)

That makes sense, Ronti, and I'm curious whether this is ever come up.

00:38:12.070 --> 00:38:27.020

chris ohara (Guest)

Is there anyone ever thought since uh, people that are at risk for in the DV population that they might have to move quite a bit, is that they ever thought of potentially having a secondary alternate contact is a way to make sure that they don't lose track as somebody.

00:38:28.250 --> 00:38:57.560

Ronti Ghosh

That's a really good that's a really good suggestion. Nobody has ever brought it up, but having a, you know, actually sometimes getting 1 alternate contact is also challenging because just because of their privacy factor, so they they don't trust the whole lot of people to provide as an alternate contact. But if they if they can't provide a secondly alternate content that that might be helpful for us and I will bring that up to our workgroup.

00:38:58.130 --> 00:39:04.900

chris ohara (Guest)

Thank you. I didn't mean to take that much time, but I figured if we got too far off those slides, it might be harder for people to remember what we were talking about.

00:39:05.480 --> 00:39:19.410

Ronti Ghosh

No, that's that's totally fine. By the way, when I'm sharing my screen, I cannot see the chat or anyone's hand raised, so I'd really appreciate it for if you could just come off mute and you know, ask the question or Angela, if you could just monitor the chat for me.

00:39:21.110 --> 00:39:24.990

Johnna Lowe

Yeah. Colleen asked if there if this is a public dashboard.

00:39:25.810 --> 00:39:26.220

Johnna Lowe

Ronti.

00:39:26.960 --> 00:39:38.640

Ronti Ghosh

This dashboard is just shared with the DV workgroup. At present it isn't public, so anybody who has a link to this dashboard can see it and Yep.

00:39:44.780 --> 00:39:46.030

Ronti Ghosh

Any follow up to that?

00:39:49.790 --> 00:39:58.670

Colleen Mahoney

No, thanks, that, that's helpful. I guess I'm not super familiar with with this TV coordinated entry system is is it new in the sense?

00:39:58.990 --> 00:40:05.270

Colleen Mahoney

Uhm, the time to say that begins or was a version of that UM operating beforehand.

00:40:06.280 --> 00:40:06.500

Colleen Mahoney

Yep.

00:40:07.030 --> 00:40:07.230

Colleen Mahoney

3.

00:40:07.660 --> 00:40:37.250

Ronti Ghosh

So this particular one is new as of you know October of 2019. So I think and it it came up it came upon because of the HUD DV bonus that was awarded I think back in 2018 and a couple agencies I received the award in 2019. So we had to come up with a new set of protocols for DV because DV agencies are not able to use HMIS.

00:40:37.310 --> 00:40:55.800

Ronti Ghosh

Per federal law, so we we had to have a separate pathway within CES for the survivors and that's how this came about. So we don't use HMIS use a system called I. Carol, you have a different assessment, different consent form, but we're still of course part of the overall coordinate increases to him.

00:40:57.580 --> 00:40:59.190

Ronti Ghosh

Does that answer your question?

00:41:00.240 --> 00:41:01.550

Colleen Mahoney

Yeah, thanks. Really helpful.

00:41:04.780 --> 00:41:20.050

Ronti Ghosh

So I'll if if all of you are interested, then we can definitely. I can put a link to this in the chat later on if you want to play around with this dashboard, but basically the remaining tabs you can see the same data. Sorry, go ahead.

00:41:17.790 --> 00:41:18.200

Johnna Lowe

There is.

00:41:20.400 --> 00:41:25.730

Johnna Lowe

No, no, no. There's one more question from Megan. Megan, do you wanna come off mute or do you want me to read?

00:41:25.320 --> 00:41:29.240

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Yeah. Yeah. No, I can come up. Sorry. I'm just, like trying to move about to not loud spaces.

00:41:30.360 --> 00:41:33.550

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Uhm yeah. I'm just wondering when when you shared that?

00:41:34.030 --> 00:41:40.270

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Uh, you know, we reach out, we try and contact the individual on the list. We try and contact providers that the individual is.

00:41:41.890 --> 00:41:54.440

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Connected to before we move them to inactive on our list. I was just wondering if we you might like not now, but at some point if we could learn a little bit more about what that process and that work flow kind of looks like.

00:41:54.750 --> 00:42:20.800

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Uhm, and if it it, it might be at all something that's possible for coordinated entry, because right now I think it's and Beth correct me always get this wrong. I think it's 90 days, which is such an improvement from 30 days for folks to check in to keep their their status updated on the list. And I love the thought of, like, not putting that as like an extra sort of just like burden on their To Do List every 90 days.

00:42:22.190 --> 00:42:27.900

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

But I know that that's also like there's a lot of resources that have to come with that. So I would just love to hear more about what that process looks like.

00:42:28.540 --> 00:42:37.770

Johnna Lowe

I wonder Ronti there's a slide that is the CES pathway to housing allocated to DV HT survivors update. Should I share that?

00:42:38.470 --> 00:42:39.480

Johnna Lowe

Slide.

00:42:41.590 --> 00:42:42.120

Johnna Lowe

Or.

00:42:42.120 --> 00:42:47.970

Ronti Ghosh

Oh, I think that's part of that. The this page that I was about to share.

00:42:48.350 --> 00:42:50.340

Johnna Lowe

OK. Gotcha. Go for it.

00:42:49.690 --> 00:42:51.540

Ronti Ghosh

So I can get back to that.

00:42:51.590 --> 00:43:22.530

Ronti Ghosh

Tell us so. Don't. Those were kind of our data so far as a, as a part second and I'll just a little bit about our current housing inventory as of March 2nd again. So in this is mostly based on turnover off the DV rapid rehousing programs, which is Heartland family Rescue has a couple programs, Apna Ghar and Metropolitan Family Services and Catholiccharities. So combined we have a total estimated inventory of 175.

00:43:22.580 --> 00:43:33.210

Ronti Ghosh

Unit costs are all scattered site units, side units and not available all at the same time, but they are available in the course of the next several months, all the way to September.

00:43:34.050 --> 00:44:03.880

Ronti Ghosh

So so it it as you can see that our waitlist is relatively light in comparison to the inventory, which is wonderful for us, but it also leads us to discussions on prioritization expansion. Just before I talk about prioritization, expansion and I want to touch briefly on a recent enhancement we made to our assessment process. So our assessment Form Act has within it a housing history tool.

00:44:04.300 --> 00:44:10.880

Ronti Ghosh

Uh, which goes back to the last five years of their housing history to identify which periods of time they were fleeing.

00:44:11.470 --> 00:44:18.080

Ronti Ghosh

I'm uh in order to calculate their length of homelessness because for this population we use hot category 4.

00:44:18.130 --> 00:44:49.520

Ronti Ghosh

From four to determine homelessness, which is basically anytime that they are, they're fleeing or attempting to flee so they don't have to be necessarily homeless to be considered homeless. So the enhancement we put in place was up until recently, the assessors would complete this housing history with the survivors when they called and. And that's and it's it's working fine. But some of the service providers felt that this the housing history, sometimes those questions around that tool can be triggering to some of their clients.

00:44:49.680 --> 00:45:14.080

Ronti Ghosh

So now the survivors of the option to complete the housing history tool, with their case managers before they actually called to get assessed by the assessor. So this was recently implemented just last month and we will be testing it for a period of three months to see how it's going before and then kind of tweaking it further if needed. Any questions on this?

00:45:19.010 --> 00:45:20.640

Ronti Ghosh

In the chat anything.

00:45:24.050 --> 00:45:25.300

Johnna Lowe

No, not yet.

00:45:24.290 --> 00:45:25.300

chris ohara (Guest)

8 ronti.

00:45:25.730 --> 00:45:26.120

Ronti Ghosh

Yeah.

00:45:26.850 --> 00:45:56.100

chris ohara (Guest)

I just had a question 'cause it's in your graph it says expected DV bonus units from 2021. No foot, no flow. We know the awards that were given monetarily, is there a range that you might have an idea on somewhere in the future of how many units that might be? I know you can't have a fixed number, but and I know they wouldn't probably go online until sometime late next year, but it just it's thinking at some point it might be helpful to these type of range of units in that in that area for.

00:45:37.560 --> 00:45:38.050

Ronti Ghosh

Uh-huh.

00:45:49.990 --> 00:45:50.540

Ronti Ghosh

Uh-huh.

00:45:56.680 --> 00:45:57.650

chris ohara (Guest)

Where you have unknown.

00:45:58.460 --> 00:46:15.050

Ronti Ghosh

I I apologize for not knowing the answer to that. I know a bulk of that bonus went to the network and I'm not sure how that's going to be distributed between how many units or what their plan is with that.

00:46:15.950 --> 00:46:16.490

Ronti Ghosh

As yet.

00:46:20.110 --> 00:46:21.720

Ronti Ghosh

Football. Sorry bout that, Chris.

00:46:23.020 --> 00:46:24.830

Ronti Ghosh

I know that's not. That's not a good answer.

00:46:29.430 --> 00:46:31.660

Ronti Ghosh

Any other questions?

00:46:36.890 --> 00:46:37.800

Angela Brooks

Doesn't look like it.

00:46:38.710 --> 00:46:39.070

Ronti Ghosh

OK.

00:46:40.240 --> 00:46:57.970

Ronti Ghosh

So as I was mentioning, uh uh, we have started thinking about a further expanding prioritization. As of now, we are assessing households and DV shelters, direct referrals from anti trafficking agencies and all homeless shelters in the city of Chicago.

00:46:58.920 --> 00:47:28.290

Ronti Ghosh

But given that our waitlist is relatively light and comparison to the housing inventory we wanted, we started, we initiated discussions about expanding prioritization as a test to include direct referrals from DV agencies that are currently referring from their shelters and by direct referrals. That mean you know most of these DV agencies, they have shelters, but they also have other programs that counseling and legal supervised visitation. So they have clients and those.

00:47:28.850 --> 00:47:58.640

Ronti Ghosh

Programs that are also unstably housed, and so we wanted to kind of started as a test, however, and this discussion came up in our last workgroup meeting in March or earlier this month. And however, the workgroup decided that we will first before we expand prioritization, even as a test, yeah, we want to kind of this new enhancement that we made to the assessment process. We want to test it for three months and then also determine if there are any other system enhancements that need to be.

00:47:59.000 --> 00:48:29.490

Ronti Ghosh

Put in place before we think about expanding prioritization. So that's one thing. And the other thing is that the DV workgroup also would like to start talking about additional because right now we are using only length of homelessness while prioritizing on our waitlist. But the workgroup wants to start looking at vulnerability factors in addition to length of homelessness for our prioritization. So we do have.

00:48:29.920 --> 00:48:38.270

Ronti Ghosh

A meeting with the the TV prioritization team that's coming up this Friday to start talking about that.

00:48:39.170 --> 00:48:44.560

Ronti Ghosh

Uh, any questions on practice, station expansion or thoughts around that?

00:48:54.140 --> 00:48:56.430

Ronti Ghosh

Anything on the chat that I'm I can't see.

00:48:57.200 --> 00:48:57.550

Angela Brooks

Now.

00:48:57.240 --> 00:48:57.650

Johnna Lowe

No.

00:48:58.620 --> 00:48:58.990

Ronti Ghosh

K.

00:49:01.020 --> 00:49:24.310

Ronti Ghosh

The next piece of update is we are, uh scheduling quarterly trainings for all the service providers, the housing providers, navigators for DV101HT101. So that's human trafficking training on working with human trafficking survivors. And then of course the CES process. So we will be having these trainings quarterly for all providers that are involved in this program.

00:49:25.040 --> 00:49:54.760

Ronti Ghosh

And and the other thing that we want to do this year and we've been wanting to tap in running two, this has been on my mind for a bit is include input from survivors in our system enhancements. So service

providers have actually been requested to identify a few domestic violence or human trafficking survivors who can participate in our work group meetings and also our sub team subcommittee meetings to inform the system enhancements and.

00:49:55.220 --> 00:50:00.710

Ronti Ghosh

We will be. CSH will be able to provide them a stipend for their participation.

00:50:02.230 --> 00:50:07.120

Ronti Ghosh

Uhm, questions. Any questions on these two?

00:50:08.430 --> 00:50:09.070

Ronti Ghosh

Points.

00:50:14.240 --> 00:50:15.310

Johnna Lowe

Nothing in the chat.

00:50:17.850 --> 00:50:45.520

Ronti Ghosh

OK. And then to wrap up a lot coming of upcoming CES DV related meetings, as I said this Friday, our DV prioritization team is meeting to discuss vulnerability factors that should be included in participation and March 30th Allchicago CSH in the network are meeting because the network has expressed some pain points that we want to clarify with them and kind of understand and then determine some next steps towards.

00:50:45.890 --> 00:50:49.760

Ronti Ghosh

Our solutions, so that's coming up next week.

00:50:51.020 --> 00:50:57.930

Ronti Ghosh

So in that kind of wraps up my update some. Any questions, yes.

00:50:56.450 --> 00:50:57.160

Beth Horwitz

Ronti.

00:50:58.880 --> 00:51:00.750

Beth Horwitz

Who's in the?

00:51:01.520 --> 00:51:02.830

Beth Horwitz

Or DV workgroup.

00:51:03.970 --> 00:51:25.180

Ronti Ghosh

All the DV workgroup is DV. Workgroup is all the service providers that the victim service providers. So many DV agencies, many agencies that serve human trafficking survivors as well as DV survivors, you have DFSS isn't the workgroup. We have a representative from the Chicago Mayor's office.

00:51:25.810 --> 00:51:34.000

Ronti Ghosh

Uh, we come and yeah, that's that kind of covers it. We do have representation from all Chicago too.

00:51:35.390 --> 00:51:36.540

Ronti Ghosh

And I.

00:51:37.380 --> 00:51:42.910

Ronti Ghosh

We haven't had allchicago at 10 recently, but I know they they used to in the past.

00:51:46.440 --> 00:51:56.970

Johnna Lowe

There is a question from Alta. I think he was mentioning earlier his audio was out, but it says can there be an invite to the March 30th meeting?

00:51:58.980 --> 00:52:03.830

Ronti Ghosh

The most 30th meeting for sure for an invite for the CE leadership team.

00:52:05.530 --> 00:52:08.900

Angela Brooks

I think anybody that's interested should just email you directly around to you.

00:52:09.470 --> 00:52:23.220

Ronti Ghosh

Yeah, yeah. If if you are interested in attending the March 30th meeting for sure, just shoot me an email or let Angela know or myself know our channel. And you know we can include you on the invite.

00:52:24.450 --> 00:52:25.300

Angela Brooks

Now in the chat.

00:52:25.080 --> 00:52:30.520

Ronti Ghosh

Actually, the actually the March 30th meeting is being coordinated by Nicole.

00:52:32.260 --> 00:52:37.170

Ronti Ghosh

So she would probably be the best person to reach out to, to get involved in that meeting.

00:52:32.520 --> 00:52:33.160

Angela Brooks

No, that's it.

00:52:38.490 --> 00:52:40.950

Ronti Ghosh

Sorry about that. Usually the DV meetings.

00:52:41.910 --> 00:52:47.910

Ronti Ghosh

I coordinated by us, but the March 30th one is being coordinated by Nicole from all Chicago.

00:52:57.530 --> 00:52:57.930

Ronti Ghosh

Any.

00:52:57.980 --> 00:52:59.090

Ronti Ghosh

Other questions?

00:53:05.400 --> 00:53:07.530

Ronti Ghosh

Anything in the chest that I'm can't see?

00:53:08.620 --> 00:53:10.170

Johnna Lowe

Nothing in the chats.

00:53:08.870 --> 00:53:09.320

Angela Brooks

No.

00:53:11.400 --> 00:53:14.570

Ronti Ghosh

All right. I will come off.

00:53:16.480 --> 00:53:17.610

Ronti Ghosh

My screen share.

00:53:19.840 --> 00:53:25.290

Ronti Ghosh

Well, thank you everyone for giving you space to just provide the DV update.

00:53:26.890 --> 00:53:32.440

Ronti Ghosh

And if it's OK, I'm going to jump off and get back to the conference that I was attending.

00:53:34.140 --> 00:53:34.790

Angela Brooks

Thank you.

00:53:35.320 --> 00:53:37.590

Ronti Ghosh

Thank you guys. Bye bye. Have a great afternoon.

00:53:39.590 --> 00:53:48.020

Johnna Lowe

I just wanna make a point so I know maybe we skipped over the leadership, team notes and recording discussion.

00:53:48.570 --> 00:53:50.600

Angela Brooks

Yes, I was trying to get to ronti. Who knew?

00:53:54.200 --> 00:53:58.470

Angela Brooks

Yeah. So I don't even recall now who sent that in.

00:53:59.690 --> 00:54:01.760

Angela Brooks

'cause, I don't remember actually.

00:54:04.160 --> 00:54:09.760

Johnna Lowe

Well, I mean, unless they I can sort of address the point, so I'll.

00:54:08.150 --> 00:54:08.540

Angela Brooks

Yeah.

00:54:08.770 --> 00:54:13.240

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Oh, it went. Sorry, it was me. He's Megan. I I just wanted to live with them.

00:54:13.850 --> 00:54:21.280

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

Just noticing that they the last one that went up was in August and I was just wanting to check in about the process or how we could support or what.

00:54:22.830 --> 00:54:26.190

Megan Wickman (she/they) (Guest)

What? Uh, yeah, just like what? The process for getting with notes up.

00:54:26.800 --> 00:54:57.950

Johnna Lowe

Absolutely. So just a little historical backgrounds on some level is I'm so our coordinated entry website is

ran by our CSH communications team and at one point as our world is experiencing the greats, what do they call it resignation? We didn't have a team and so it was kind of like until further notice kind of thing. And so the way that things happen now is still being ran. And I think at one point.

00:54:58.010 --> 00:54:59.240

Johnna Lowe
Stephanie hab.

00:55:00.010 --> 00:55:31.420

Johnna Lowe

Uhm, access to to the, to the website to upload those minutes in packets. So as of now we have to email. I have to email or Angela and I have to email our communications team to upload them. I will say and not you know, full transparency. I'm not trying to throw folks under the bus, but just being honest that I did email our communications team on the I think it was like March 1st, about the February 1 and we just got it on.

00:55:31.780 --> 00:56:01.440

Johnna Lowe

The website I think as of last week, so I had a very transparent conversation. I know folks are sick of me saying that work, but about how like, you know, from a good steward, good partner perspective is really important for us to get these up on our website. And so she informed me that, you know, hopefully moving forward we can have a two day turn around and we because we also have those other packets from the previous month, we can upload them as well.

00:56:02.460 --> 00:56:06.160

Johnna Lowe

I did ask for access even though I don't know anything about website design.

00:56:07.830 --> 00:56:14.120

Johnna Lowe

But it was kind of like, well, you know, we I don't think we I don't think we're at that point yet. So that's kind of where it stands now.

00:56:15.320 --> 00:56:27.800

Johnna Lowe

And I think moving forward like as we communicate to our to communications team, maybe it's a good idea to make sure that at least all of our folks from the leadership team have that was packets moving forward.

00:56:28.520 --> 00:56:58.560

Angela Brooks

And I think the big thing in terms of formal minutes, we will not have those unless we have volunteers to do them because that is time intensive on our point part and pulling this together is about all the time we can a lot. So if there are people who I think Laura is volunteered a few times, aside from that, it will just be the recordings and or the transcripts unless we get volunteers. So I do want to make sure we are all clear on that, ask for volunteers and the need there if you want formal notes. But otherwise it'll just be a packet switch you all received via email.

00:56:28.660 --> 00:56:29.720

Johnna Lowe

But yeah, it's a great point.

00:56:58.620 --> 00:57:04.010

Angela Brooks

And I'm gonna start including them in the calendar 'cause. It's just easier for them to live there for me personally.

00:57:04.690 --> 00:57:13.280

Angela Brooks

And dumb, who put those on the Webster recordings and the transcripts on the website and less. We get volunteers that will take notes each minute each meeting.

00:57:15.790 --> 00:57:45.320

Johnna Lowe

And now it's my turn. So this is going to be very relatively quick update. I know we only got like 22 minutes left. So this prior to temporary participation workgroup. So as of Friday, there were still 4 stakeholder groups. I hadn't heard from regarding their represent representative or liaison for this workgroup. I know I've heard folks say like starting soon, starting soon and while I absolutely want to hold myself.

00:57:45.380 --> 00:58:16.330

Johnna Lowe

Accountable to this workgroup, and I'm also very adamant about being inclusive in that space. The other sort of internal joke I've been making is that I'm not sure folks are ready for more work. As we talk about being at high capacities in our in our, in our current rows in the in the current situation. But I'm so as of today, there are three stakeholder workgroups that I have yet to hear from. I don't know if people want the specifics. Like I said, I'm not trying. I'll folks and I have communicated to.

00:58:16.390 --> 00:58:18.780

Johnna Lowe

Those affinity group.

00:58:19.670 --> 00:58:23.860

Johnna Lowe

Point of contacts I as they are identified on our COC website.

00:58:24.870 --> 00:58:55.590

Johnna Lowe

Come one did respond this Monday, and then I'm still waiting for three more to respond. And so my goal is that, you know, hopefully we can get those in and even if that means me stalking folks throughout the week and that we can start the scheduling for that first initial meeting on the other part of this work, which I'm happy to report is that so CSH, we have a new intern staff, Gwen, who she unfortunately is not in on Tuesdays and Thursdays.

00:58:55.790 --> 00:58:56.710

Johnna Lowe

But she will be.

00:58:58.090 --> 00:59:09.290

Johnna Lowe

Working with me primarily in the space of coordinating meetings and information right as we know that we'll have to sort of, you know, do some research from other communities.

00:59:10.850 --> 00:59:41.440

Johnna Lowe

And also sort of a constant connection to some of our data request that may come up in this meeting, workgroup space this she'll be helping me coordinate. So I've been on boarding her around like, you know, the functionality it was COC functionality of a coordinated entry system. And then we've had conversations about what it means to, for communities to create a prioritization plan, looking at what we have now looking at the previous ones and then just also like, looking at what prioritization has.

00:59:41.500 --> 00:59:42.880

Johnna Lowe

Commit for other communities.

00:59:43.990 --> 00:59:47.460

Johnna Lowe

So that's that's and I think that's it.

00:59:48.940 --> 00:59:51.020

Johnna Lowe

I'll turn it over to Beth.

00:59:55.360 --> 01:00:07.910

Beth Horwitz

Straight I think indels had Laura. This was a question you had would love to know what information I can make sure to provide a you know it's hard to know where folks are, but if there's a particular questions folks wanted to discuss about the.

01:00:08.890 --> 01:00:10.160

Beth Horwitz

PS8 vacancies.

01:00:12.360 --> 01:00:27.790

Laura Bass

Yeah, I think just anything we you know, I think one of the things I brought up last month is a providers I feel like in many of those discussions I've been in providers have a lot of ideas about things that we could try to address some of the issues and like.

01:00:28.420 --> 01:00:31.670

Laura Bass

I think we're looking for for how we could plug in UM.

01:00:32.730 --> 01:00:35.860

Laura Bass

To any work that's happening, and I know.

01:00:37.340 --> 01:00:44.470

Laura Bass

I apologize, I've been in so many meetings, but I feel like there was a recent presentation that talked about some potential options.

01:00:45.370 --> 01:00:54.170

Laura Bass

Come. But yes, I think that's the information I'm looking for is like we're we're folks who would like to be involved in coming up with solutions can connect.

01:00:55.810 --> 01:00:59.450

Beth Horwitz

Thanks, Laura. That's helpful. So all of the input.

01:01:00.110 --> 01:01:16.120

Beth Horwitz

Was pulled together at the last COC board meeting. It was presented to the board. The board said. This is great. We think you need to work. We need to work, plan and all Chicago draft to work, plan and present it to the Executive Committee to determine if we need a housing access line of action.

01:01:17.370 --> 01:01:46.120

Beth Horwitz

That happened. There was some workshopping of what went into the work plan with, I think it was a, there was maybe there's this USC quarterly meeting as well as with various parts of the coordinated entry implementation team and the leadership of some sort of the coordinated entry parts of CSH and the center. And then it went to the Executive committee on Friday who said yes, please go ahead. Here are some edits, but yes, let's go ahead so.

01:01:47.220 --> 01:01:53.190

Beth Horwitz

We are now starting the process of building out a leadership team and by starting I mean.

01:01:53.830 --> 01:02:24.090

Beth Horwitz

Like there had been no emails sent, so you've not missed a single thing, but we anticipate it will happen pretty soon to start forming, forming that and then you know the work plan that the Executive Committee looked at was a starting point of like this is what we think they'd work on. And the leadership team is then who says yes, no and how to what parts do we start on 1st. So I think we imagine there may be various work groups that are within that line of action in a way that is not.

01:02:24.140 --> 01:02:36.090

Beth Horwitz

True of all of them, but that there may be all sorts of other sub subgroups happening related to it and so but hope it hoping it'll get launched in the not so distant future.

01:02:41.300 --> 01:02:41.850

Laura Bass

Thank you.

01:02:45.060 --> 01:02:57.730

Johnna Lowe

I think I'm up next time around Workgroup updates, so really I'm pulling from our implementation work group. I think that's kind of the biggest one to report out right now. And so as we.

01:02:58.790 --> 01:03:06.280

Johnna Lowe

Are aware and clear that our continuum is looking to respond to this PSH utilization. I think it's been.

01:03:07.650 --> 01:03:14.580

Johnna Lowe

A lot of good discussion from us around what this looks like in terms of our responsibility and accountability, right? PSH.

01:03:15.910 --> 01:03:16.840

Johnna Lowe

I don't want to say.

01:03:19.780 --> 01:03:36.400

Johnna Lowe

Well, PSH is a part of coordinated entry system, right? So figuring out like although there's a line of action, you know, if this line of action had not been created, you know, we still as a system want to make sure that we're holding ourselves accountable. And so looking at things like changing our our.

01:03:39.050 --> 01:04:04.330

Johnna Lowe

Referral form and then sort of talking internally around some of those pain points that have been identified by that information that Beth mentioned was presented to the board on from those providers and sort of talking through the like what is a pain point where the things that we can work through and then what is you know what we might consider to be issues of like miscommunication or information.

01:04:05.690 --> 01:04:21.490

Johnna Lowe

And an example is like the whole idea of like being document ready and what does that mean as it from the provider perspective, understanding that like they have HUD guidance around making sure that these things are part of the portfolio and the you know the audit.

01:04:22.180 --> 01:04:43.690

Johnna Lowe

Come as you know, folks are audited by by HUD, but then also understanding that HUD gives guidance to say that folks don't have to be document ready you know and as their house and so how to sort of work through like where it places to educate and inform versus how we can sort of respond to you know system pain points around the utilization 'cause you know I think we all want.

01:04:44.700 --> 01:04:47.250

Johnna Lowe

The utilization of the high UM.

01:04:48.630 --> 01:04:53.000

Johnna Lowe

And but I think that's kind of the biggest one to report out at this point.

01:04:56.630 --> 01:05:01.180

Johnna Lowe

And then I think we're turning it over to Laura for CE transition.

01:05:01.560 --> 01:05:02.190

chris ohara (Guest)

Hey, johnna.

01:05:02.890 --> 01:05:04.020

Johnna Lowe

Yeah. So I'm sorry, Chris.

01:05:04.210 --> 01:05:15.300

chris ohara (Guest)

I wanted to mention that the UDP SSU utilization I brought this up at the local evaluation instrument meeting. Otherwise people would notice the evaluation tool.

01:05:16.020 --> 01:05:26.480

chris ohara (Guest)

Come at some point, if we are as a system trying to encourage all providers to be 90% or above, we have to get that utilization rate above 85% within the tool.

01:05:28.690 --> 01:05:42.600

chris ohara (Guest)

Even if it's a matter of just making sure people know about it now and and getting grading on it next year, but uhm, I think we can't kick the can down on that. If that's a COC prioritization and I just wanted to put that little updated here, thank you.

01:05:43.350 --> 01:05:44.080

Johnna Lowe

Thank you.

01:05:48.410 --> 01:05:49.790

Laura Bass

Have a question?

01:05:50.850 --> 01:05:55.530

Laura Bass

Related to that and and I was, I I don't think anyone from Catholic Charities is here but.

01:05:56.560 --> 01:06:05.310

Laura Bass

Like we recently requested some rematches for a project that has a lot of vacancies and got an email I've never gotten before that basically said.

01:06:06.800 --> 01:06:14.170

Laura Bass

Everyone who is on the by name list who were assessed for housing have already declined this in the past or appear to have a housing plan.

01:06:15.920 --> 01:06:20.320

Laura Bass

Come. And so I know we we normally reach out to Jacob, but all Chicago.

01:06:20.960 --> 01:06:26.390

Laura Bass

About kind of referrals and I, Jacob, let us know this is an email that came from Catholic Charities.

01:06:27.110 --> 01:06:37.860

Laura Bass

Uhm, so if if folks have recommendations out who the best person at catholiccharities to reach out is it just it's the email is worded in such a way that there's like there's no one to refer which I.

01:06:39.940 --> 01:06:41.470

Laura Bass

I can't imagine it's true.

01:06:40.310 --> 01:06:40.860

Johnna Lowe

Do you know?

01:06:41.990 --> 01:06:43.090

Johnna Lowe

Do you know who it came from?

01:06:43.390 --> 01:06:48.420

Laura Bass

It came from that kind of the generic like CES Chicago CES at Catholiccharities.

01:06:45.720 --> 01:06:46.070

Johnna Lowe

No.

01:06:48.980 --> 01:06:59.270

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, I I would say if you could loop me and then Loren Webb from the matching team, she's the lead for the matching team. So we can get to the bottom of that.

01:06:59.800 --> 01:07:00.570

Laura Bass

I thank you.

01:07:00.990 --> 01:07:02.010

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, absolutely.

01:07:04.190 --> 01:07:06.560

Laura Bass

So for CE transition.

01:07:07.780 --> 01:07:22.750

Laura Bass

So we had a very, very small ad hoc workgroup that worked on an RFP for a consultant to help coordinate the process with with in conjunction with the coordinated entry lead entity selection Committee.

01:07:23.410 --> 01:07:39.040

Laura Bass

Uhm, so the deadline for consultant applications was March 9th. We received one application, reviewed it felt that it did meet the guidelines laid out in the RFP and forward it onto the selection committee for next Steps.

01:07:40.670 --> 01:07:49.170

Laura Bass

So that is and I know SOPC is meeting this Friday and I believe that we will, we will have more updates about about you know.

01:07:49.850 --> 01:07:53.240

Laura Bass

What has happened since then? But that is the update that I'm able to give today.

01:07:54.360 --> 01:07:55.110

Angela Brooks

Thank you.

01:07:55.710 --> 01:08:16.910

Johnna Lowe

Just to add to that and as my radiators are going crazy. Uhm, I was asked last week to present to the selection committee about sort of the process of coordinated entry folks. You know that aren't necessarily in the weeds of the work, wanted to understand the process of it all, which I was happy to present.

01:08:17.830 --> 01:08:34.840

Johnna Lowe

Uhm, thank you to lashonda for coordinating that for me and for the group. And then they sort of had a

bunch of follow up questions which, you know, it's understandable. So I think is that tomorrow or Thursday then I'm gonna be coming back just.

01:08:34.180 --> 01:08:35.990

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

It's gonna be Thursday, yes.

01:08:35.740 --> 01:08:43.970

Johnna Lowe

There's a yeah to answer just some more questions that they had related to, you know, system policies around coordinated entry, so.

01:08:36.540 --> 01:08:36.850

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

Yeah.

01:08:45.150 --> 01:08:46.280

Johnna Lowe

I'm happy to do that.

01:08:48.200 --> 01:08:55.730

chris ohara (Guest)

Yeah, Johnna, that will be Thursday at 3:00 PM. I don't think you're the first thing on the agenda, but I wanna say within the first half an hour.

01:08:56.370 --> 01:08:59.800

Johnna Lowe

Yeah, I'm happy to attend and jump off whenever I need to.

01:09:00.490 --> 01:09:13.580

chris ohara (Guest)

And I briefly did have a I want to thank you for coming into that as well. I had brief question for Laura. There's something that came up within our group in our leadership 'cause. Uh, Audrey Thomas is also involved with that. Besides this, Shonda myself.

01:09:14.920 --> 01:09:30.780

chris ohara (Guest)

I've been trying to reach out to Adam Rogers, but he's been on vacation and apparently Carmelo calling him is not a good idea. I guess I gotta get used to emailing everyone. Some people used to be good but the phone, but now things have become more remote. Uh. But I'm just curious. One thing I'm.

01:09:32.610 --> 01:09:37.660

chris ohara (Guest)

I I think we're ready to move forward with the the one group that was actually selected.

01:09:38.660 --> 01:09:43.400

chris ohara (Guest)

And but The thing is, I think there needs to be a contract potentially signed.

01:09:44.260 --> 01:09:49.930

chris ohara (Guest)

And I spoke to Finance Committee just to see if they needed it to weigh in on anything.

01:09:50.630 --> 01:09:57.520

chris ohara (Guest)

Very high level conversation and they just said as long as it doesn't go over the amount that was allocated, they don't need to be involved.

01:09:58.290 --> 01:10:14.080

chris ohara (Guest)

But I'm curious, Laura, how, who at the COC COC level in the board or who would actually have to be on that contract to make sure that that one group does get is able to start the work sooner rather than later?

01:10:15.830 --> 01:10:19.100

Laura Bass

That is a great question. UM.

01:10:21.240 --> 01:10:29.210

Laura Bass

Right. Yeah, I understand. The Finance Committee not being needing to be involved in the details, but I you know, if I were the consultant, I would certainly want to have a contract in place to.

01:10:30.370 --> 01:10:32.680

Laura Bass

So I know that I am going to get paid for the work I'm doing.

01:10:33.500 --> 01:10:34.190

Laura Bass

Uhm.

01:10:34.790 --> 01:10:43.600

Laura Bass

So my thought is it would be a money that would need a member of the Executive Committee of the board to sign off on that contract. Do other folks have different information or feel differently?

01:10:44.550 --> 01:10:47.780

Beth Horwitz

I just pinged Nicole about it. It's Ken who would need to sign.

01:10:48.300 --> 01:10:49.250

Laura Bass

OK. Thank you.

01:10:48.420 --> 01:10:50.360

Beth Horwitz

As the President of the board.

01:10:51.510 --> 01:10:52.180

Laura Bass

Perfect.

01:10:53.180 --> 01:10:58.530

chris ohara (Guest)

Thank you, Beth. I had a sense that was probably can, but I I never want to assume anything that's one reason why I have to.

01:10:58.040 --> 01:11:04.500

Beth Horwitz

There's a great question I had no idea. I was like Nicole, what happens with what? Who sides those contracts so.

01:11:14.700 --> 01:11:16.210

Johnna Lowe

I think we're at other.

01:11:17.420 --> 01:11:19.450

Johnna Lowe

With a whole 9 minutes left.

01:11:21.960 --> 01:11:22.210

Johnna Lowe

Yep.

01:11:25.000 --> 01:11:29.920

Angela Brooks

OK. Well, if nobody has anything for the good of the group, you can have a whole 8 minutes.

01:11:33.540 --> 01:11:34.400

LaShunda Brown (Guest)

Thank you.

01:11:33.950 --> 01:11:35.030

Christine Riley

Thank you.

01:11:35.120 --> 01:11:35.850

Laura Bass

Thank you.

01:11:35.270 --> 01:11:37.940

Angela Brooks

Hey, hey. Happy it's spring.

01:11:36.200 --> 01:11:37.890

Johnna Lowe

Have a great evening everyone.

01:11:37.380 --> 01:11:38.610

Jennifer Jimenez

Thank you everyone.

01:11:38.610 --> 01:11:39.140

Cindy Blumenthal Near West Side CDC (Guest)

Here like.

01:11:39.050 --> 01:11:39.740

Justine Allenbach

Thank you.

01:11:39.300 --> 01:11:39.530

Johnna Lowe

Right.

01:11:39.560 --> 01:11:40.290

Jennifer Jimenez

A great day.

01:11:40.350 --> 01:11:41.420

Cindy Blumenthal Near West Side CDC (Guest)

Thanks everybody.

01:11:41.910 --> 01:11:42.600

Angela Brooks

Bye.

01:11:42.690 --> 01:11:44.620

chris ohara (Guest)

Hey, Johnna, are you still there?

01:11:47.840 --> 01:11:49.720

Angela Brooks

I think she's gone.

01:11:50.580 --> 01:11:51.950

Angela Brooks

He needs something from her, Chris.

01:11:52.090 --> 01:12:05.260

chris ohara (Guest)

Not immediately, but since there was a brief 5 minute period I had to step away and I saw in the chat people talking about the VI would johnna be getting the acuity group together soon? I hadn't seen any emails regarding that.

01:12:05.690 --> 01:12:14.460

Angela Brooks

That I'm not sure. It looks like she's typing you a note, so if you type it in the chat or email her 'cause, I honestly don't know.

01:12:17.250 --> 01:12:18.300

Angela Brooks

Let's ask her to come back.

01:12:19.120 --> 01:12:23.530

chris ohara (Guest)

Oh yeah, I was sorry. Johnna, if you're still there. I was just curious whether they're.

01:12:22.810 --> 01:12:24.760

Angela Brooks

Now she's not honest, posted in the chat.

01:12:24.970 --> 01:12:25.960

chris ohara (Guest)

Oh alright.

01:12:30.660 --> 01:12:31.870

Angela Brooks

He'd already hit Leah.

01:12:33.020 --> 01:12:36.230

chris ohara (Guest)

Hey I had a quick question for I I can wait then come.

01:12:36.050 --> 01:12:36.720

Angela Brooks

John is back.

01:12:36.210 --> 01:12:37.740

Johnna Lowe

No, I'm here. I'm here. Chris. I'm back.

01:12:38.210 --> 01:12:50.540

chris ohara (Guest)

Yeah. Johnna, I, I, I saw the VI get posted in the chat earlier. 'cause. I had to step away for five minutes from the laptop. I'm just curious, are you getting another acuity group together or am I missing something that I didn't know about?

01:12:51.400 --> 01:13:04.100

Johnna Lowe

I think author put that in there when he said via and I'm some of me wonders if that is in reference to like the numbers that come ronti had on her.

01:13:04.870 --> 01:13:07.670

Johnna Lowe

Presentation. Not like our vulnerability index.

01:13:08.740 --> 01:13:25.650

Johnna Lowe

And the other part of that is, you know the acuity tool will absolutely be a part of the prioritization, workgroup, 'cause, whatever way our system decides to prioritize, folks will have you know that we will need to have a a tool that reflects that, so.

01:13:28.450 --> 01:13:36.600

chris ohara (Guest)

Alright, thank you. Johnna, I didn't want to keep you longer. I know it's you. It's rare you get a few minutes back. But I I thought you were still on when I asked the question. I saw your face.

01:13:36.020 --> 01:13:38.410

Johnna Lowe

No, no problem, no big deal.

01:13:39.360 --> 01:13:39.740

Angela Brooks

Well.

01:13:40.360 --> 01:13:41.790

Johnna Lowe

Alright, bye.

01:13:40.430 --> 01:13:42.920

Angela Brooks

Alright. Thanks Chris. Bye.

01:13:42.440 --> 01:13:44.090

chris ohara (Guest)

Thank you. Johnna. Hey, Angela.

01:13:44.820 --> 01:13:45.390

Angela Brooks

Yes.

01:13:45.860 --> 01:13:47.730

chris ohara (Guest)

I I just had one other question for you.

01:13:48.440 --> 01:13:49.630

Angela Brooks

We'll see if I have an answer.

01:13:50.030 --> 01:13:53.220

chris ohara (Guest)

Yeah, that's true, that's fair. UM.

01:13:54.300 --> 01:13:57.920

chris ohara (Guest)

The HHEI will Brett in Sherlina.

01:13:59.260 --> 01:14:03.940

chris ohara (Guest)

And yourself? Well, that meeting be happening in April or will that one get cancelled?

01:14:04.920 --> 01:14:10.290

Angela Brooks

We never know. We don't have anything to discuss at this point, so if there's not any applications, so I'm.

01:14:10.930 --> 01:14:12.320

Angela Brooks

I'm not clear on.

01:14:13.330 --> 01:14:13.660

chris ohara (Guest)

Well.

01:14:13.350 --> 01:14:21.780

Angela Brooks

I know we don't have any applications and I don't see what we would have applications for. Is there something that you think is relevant to HHEI?

01:14:22.110 --> 01:14:31.840

chris ohara (Guest)

We don't necessarily need to meet them, but I thought at one point we talked about meeting quarterly to, you know, try to keep and stay in touch on some things that are being worked on or in process.

01:14:32.280 --> 01:14:37.970

Angela Brooks

Yeah. And it's probably not gonna happen in April. And the main reason is we are trying to pull together with the.

01:14:38.610 --> 01:14:46.460

Angela Brooks

With all Chicago like a housing kind of work group, so it will probably be after that. And I am admittedly not as engaged.

01:14:47.240 --> 01:14:50.970

Angela Brooks

To know what the you know what the timing on that will be, so.