

Racial Equity Impact Assessment Tool

When developing and implementing a new program or policy, it is important to consider the potential disparate impact it may have on historically underrepresented and marginalized groups. A racial equity assessment & impact tool serves to measure proposed policies and/or practices to determine whether they relieve or perpetuate existing inequities. This tool should be used by the project manager prior to the implementation of a program or policy and during the design phase. Specifically, this <u>internal</u> tool is designed to help CSHers:

- ✓ systematically examine the role of bias in relation to systemic disparities and disproportionality
 among racial, ethnic and other marginalized identities;
- ✓ root out/preempt potential barriers, impacts and/or unintended consequences for disparately impacted groups from proposed programs/ policies and other decision-making processes;
- ✓ consider alternative policies that center an anti-racist, race equity approach and
- ✓ work to reduce, eliminate and prevent racial disparities and inequities and advance race equity.

This Tool's Applicable Uses:

- Policy/Regulatory Analysis
- Program Design, Development, Implementation, Monitoring & Evaluation

Name and Role on Program/Policy of Person Filling out Tool:

Today's Date:

Domains: Design & Development							
1.	What is the policy/ program?						
	a. What is the status? \square Planning \square At the Beginning \square Ongoing						
2.	What population(s) or sectors would this impact (check all that apply):						
			All Races				
	☐ Affordable Housing	\square Lending/	☐ Asian				
	☐ Aging (50+)	Community	☐ African American/				
	☐ Developers	Investment	Black				
	☐ Families/ Child	☐ Supportive Housing	☐ Indigenous/ Native				
	Welfare	☐ Youth	American/ Alaskan				
	☐ Health/ Behavioral	☐ Other:	Native				
	Health		☐ Latinx/ Hispanic				
	☐ Homeless/ Chronic		☐ LGBTQ+				
	Homeless		☐ Native Hawaiian,				
	□ ID/DD		Other Pacific Islander				
	☐ Justice		☐ White				
	☐ Juvenile Justice		Multi-Race				
			Other:				

Version released August 2020

		program: (cm	eck all that a	ippiy)						
☐ National	☐ Maine (ME)	[\square Rhode Island	d (RI)						
☐ Alabama (AL)	☐ Maryland (MD)		☐ South Carolina (SC)							
☐ Alaska (AK)	☐ Massachusetts (MA)	[☐ South Dakota (SD)							
☐ Arizona (AZ)	☐ Michigan (MI)	☐ Tennessee (TN)								
☐ Arkansas (AR) ☐ Minnesota (MN)		☐ Texas (TX)								
☐ California (CA)	☐ Mississippi (MS)	☐ Utah (UT)								
☐ Colorado (CO) ☐ Missouri (MO)		\square Vermont (VT)								
☐ Connecticut (CT)	☐ Montana (MT)	☐ Virginia (VA)								
☐ Delaware (DE)	☐ Nebraska (NE)	☐ Washington (WA)								
☐ District of Columbia (DC)	☐ Nevada (NV)	[\square West Virgini	a (WV)						
☐ Florida (FL)	☐ New Hampshire (NH)	[\square Wisconsin (\	VI)						
☐ Georgia (GA)	☐ New Jersey (NJ)	[\square Wyoming (V	VY)						
☐ Hawaii (HI)	☐ New Mexico (NM)	<u>l</u>	J.S. Territories							
☐ Idaho (ID)	☐ New York (NY)	[\square American Sa	moa (AS)						
☐ Illinois (IL)	☐ North Carolina (NC)	[\square Guam (GU)							
☐ Indiana (IN)	☐ North Dakota (ND)	[\square Northern M	ariana Islands (MP)						
☐ Iowa (IA)	☐ Ohio (OH)	[☐ Puerto Rico	(PR)						
☐ Kansas (KS)	☐ Oklahoma (OK)	[\square Virgin Island	s (VI)						
☐ Kentucky (KY)	☐ Oregon (OR)									
☐ Louisiana (LA)	\square Pennsylvania (PA)									
Determine the Inclusivity of the Desig	n	Yes	No	Unsure						
4. Are those most impacted (see que		100		0.1104110						
justice etc.), including PLE*, a par										
	t of the design:									
5. Are BIPOC* a part of the design?										
6. Why should we support/ advance it? How does it align with our values?										
7. Name at least 3 potential/known supporters. Why do they support it? (optional)										
,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,							
8. Name at least 3 potential/known opponents? Why do they oppose it? (optional)										
Traine at least 5 potential, known opponents. Trily do they oppose it. (optional)										
o. Name at least 5 potential, known	opponents: why do they opp	ose it? (optio	nal)							
o. Nume at least 5 potential, known	opponents: willy do they opp	ose it? (option	nal)							
			nal)							
9. What provision(s) could be changed.			nal)							
			nal)							
			nal) No	Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and country	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and contrauma, inequities and / or biases?	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and country	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and contrauma, inequities and / or biases?	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and contrauma, inequities and / or biases?	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						
9. What provision(s) could be changed Centering Race Equity 10. Does it acknowledge historic and contrauma, inequities and / or biases?	d or added to increase inclusion	n?		Unsure						

Version released August 2020

11. Would you describe this policy/ program, or aspects of it, as				
anti-racist*?				
a. If yes, how so?				
12. Is the program or policy realistic?				
13. Is the program or policy adequately funded?				
14. Does the program or policy include mechanisms to ensure				
successful implementation and enforcement?				
15. Assess the Benefit/ Burden				
a. Who would benefit in the short-term and long-term? Optio	nal: How?			
b. What is the potential for harm and/or unintended consequ	ences agains	t historically	/	
disadvantaged identities (BIPOC/ LGBTQ+, disabled, etc.) from			•	
alsadvantaged identities (Sir GG) EGD (Q1) alsabled, etc., iron	r tills prograi	ii, policy.		
16. How will this project raise awareness about racial inequity?				
17. What provision(s) could be changed or added to reduce/eliminate	e racial dispa	rities and ac	dvance racial	
equity?				
-4-7				
18. What data are driving this initiative? What data is being used as a re	ationale for v	vhy this proi	ect is needed?	
		, p. 0,		
a. What does that data tell you about the experiences of vario	ous racial/eth	nic groups?		
a. What does that data tell you about the experiences of various racial/ethine groups:				
b. How are BIPOC and LGBTQ+* people particularly impacted?				
b. How are bit of and Edbig! people particularly impacted	•			
19. What are the race equity success indicators and progress benchm	narks?			
22. That are the race equity success maleutors and progress benefit				

Resource Allocation						
20. Where are the resources coming from? What resources are being used to plan, develop and implement						
the program?						
21. Where are the resources going? (Who or what organization will u	Itimately red	ceive the res	ources and			
funds for the policy and program? (i.e. local nonprofits, individual	ls and house	holds with c	hildren,			
government entities, etc.))						
a. What proportion of BIPOC and LGBTQ+* people/led-grou	ps will be in	receipt of th	e resources?			
		·				
Domains: Implementation, Monitoring & Evaluati	on					
Data Analysis	Yes	No	Unsure			
22. Is quantitative data being collected?						
a. (If applicable) How will the data be disaggregated?	l					
☐ By Race ☐ By Ethnicity ☐ By Age ☐ By Gender Identity/Sex	kual Orientat	ion 🗌 Unsu	${\sf ure}\; \Box {\sf Other}$			
key categories?						
b. (If applicable) Will the data be monitored regularly?						
c. (If applicable) Will you partner with disparately						
impacted people/ groups to interpret and contextualize						
the data to explain how disparities occur?						
d. (If applicable) How will the data be monitored and by wh	om?					
23. Is qualitative data being collected?		1				
a. (If applicable) What is the intended use of the						
qualitative data?						
4						
24. How will the impacts on racial equity be evaluated?						
a. What is the project/policy's goal and timeline for eliminating racial inequities?						
b. How will community stakeholder participation be retained in the process?						
25. How will you ensure internal and external accountability?						

Please upload completed Race Equity Impact Assessments to this online folder for review and sharing examples.

This tool is based on and inspired by the following sources:

- Race Forward's <u>Racial Equity Impact Assessment (REIA) Toolkit</u> (accessed July 2020)
- Government Alliance on Race and Equity, "Race Equity: Getting to Results" (accessed July 2020)
- The Annie E. Casey Foundation's <u>Results Count™ Path to Equity: A Guide to the Accountability for Equitable Results Framework</u>

Definitions & Key Terms

- Anti-Racist Policies: Policies that actively seek to dismantle the racism embedded in our social, economic, and political systems and structures, which results in persistent racial inequities
- **BIPOC**: An acronym that stands for 'Black, Indigenous, People of Color,' it is meant to unite all people of color in the work for liberation while intentionally acknowledging that not all people of color face the same levels of injustice and oppression.
- **LGBTQ**+: The acronym that refers to Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer (or Questioning) and others.
- PLE: People with Lived Experience/ Expertise
- Race Equity: Is achieved when one's racial and ethnic background has no bearing on how they will fare in society, nor predict their social or economic well-being.