

CSH Literature Review of Supportive Housing: Housing Outcomes

Please do not distribute or share without permission from CSH.

Information listed in the Outcomes table has been taken directly from the relevant study. Such outcomes do not constitute analysis or advice.

Please direct all questions and concerns about the literature review to pfs@csh.org



#	Study	Ν	Study design	Impact: Shelter / Homelessness	Impact: Stable Housing % / Days
1	Culhane (2002)	3,365	Matched control group	85.6% decline in mean number of shelter days used by intervention group (137 to 19 days) over two years.6.4% decline experienced by control group.	
2	Gulcur (2003)	225	RCT	0.661 decrease in proportion of time spent homeless by experimental group recruited from street over two years. 0.333 decrease in proportion of time spent homeless by control group recruited from street.	
3	Rosenheck et al (2003)	460	RCT	36.2% fewer days homeless than standard treatment group (13.1 vs 20.5 days) and 35.8% fewer days homeless than case management-only group (13.1 vs 20.3 days) in results averaged across three years.	25% more days in an apartment, room, or house than standard care group (59.4 vs 47.6 days) and 16.9% more days housed than the case management group (59.4 vs 50.8 days) in results averaged across three years.
4	Trotz, Bamberger, and Antonetty (2004)	483	Pre/post study		Two-thirds of residents have stayed housed since the program began in 1998. Of the remaining one third, half moved into permanent housing. 6% were evicted. 5% died.
5	Tsemberis (2004)	225	RCT	Significant decrease in proportion of time spent homeless beyond the decrease seen by control group at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months	Significant increase in proportion of time spent housed beyond increase seen by control group at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months



#	Study	N	Study design	Impact: Shelter / Homelessness	Impact: Stable Housing % / Days
6	Barrow, Rodriguez, Cordova (2004)	c.150	Pre/post study		Average of 73% stably housed after one year across three sites. Additional 10% exited to permanent housing. 14% exited to transitional or institutional setting. 3% died. Average of 52% stably housed after two years across three sites. Additional 15% exited to permanent housing. 18% exited to transitional or institutional setting. 4% died.
7	Greenwood et al (2005)	197	RCT	Proportion of time spent homeless decreased from 0.29 to 0.15 at 12 months and remained stable through 36 months	
8	Milby et al (2005)	196	RCT		Days housed increased for all three groups (non- abstinence housed; abstinence contingent housed; and non-housed). Groups did not differ significantly from each other at 12 months
9	Perlman and Parvensky (2006)	19	Pre/post study	Reduced emergency shelter cost averaging \$13,600 per person	
10	Martinez et al (2006)	236	Pre/post study		81% of residents remained in housing for at least one year
11	Kertesz et al (2007)	138	RCT		In this study's primary outcome comparison, the percentages achieving stable housing and employment at 12 months were highest for participants assigned to Abstinence-Contingent Housing, lowest for participants assigned to No Housing, and intermediate for Nonabstinence-Contingent Housing (p=0.17 for Stable Employment, p=0.11 for Stable Housing)
12	Mondello et al (2007)	99	Pre/post study	98% decline in shelter visits	



#	Study	Ν	Study design	Impact: Shelter / Homelessness	Impact: Stable Housing % / Days
13	Pearson et al	67	Pre/post study		43% remained in housing the entire year; 41% remained
	(2007)				in housing but spent at least one night elsewhere; 16% left the program or died
14	Hirsch et al (2008)	50	Pre/post study		93% remained in housing after one year
15	Hall (2008)	20	Pre/post study	92% decline in shelter bed nights after one year	
16	Latham et al (2008)	586	Pre/post study		77% of youth in housing, 20% homeless and 4% moved out of state after one year
17	Mondello et al (2009)	163	Pre/post study	99% decline in shelter costs over one year	
18	Larimer,	95	Wait-list control	Decline from 0.5 shelter nights per person per month in	
	Malone, Garner et al (2009)		group	year prior to housing to 0 in 6 months after housing and 0 in 12 months after housing	
19	MA Housing &	555	Pre/post study		Of 555 people enrolled in Feb 2012, 82% remained
	Shelter Alliance (2012)				housed
20	Knoxville Mayor's Office et al (2012)	47	Pre/post study	Emergency shelter stays decreased from 59 nights to 1 night per person pre/post housing	
	· · ·				



#	Study	Ν	Study design	Impact: Shelter / Homelessness	Impact: Stable Housing % / Days
21	Aidala et al (2013)	72	Control group	On average, intervention group members spent 146.7 fewer days in shelter than did comparison group members. The percentage of FUSE II participants with any shelter	At twelve months, over 91% of FUSE II participants were housed in permanent housing, compared to the 28% who would have been housed had they not received FUSE II housing and services.
				episode over the study period was reduced on average by 70%.	By 24 months, FUSE II participants experienced a slight drop to 86% who were in permanent housing. By this point in time, only 42% of comparison group members were in permanent housing.
22	NYC Dept of Health & Hygiene (2013)	1695	Control group	Savings of \$5,427 per person for single adult shelters and \$1,492 per person for family shelters when compared to control group	
23	Thomas et al (2014)	73	Pre/post study		79.5% remained in placements at one year. 84% were still in stable accommodation
24	Basu et al (2012)	201	RCT	0.07 fewer shelter days than control	29.66 fewer days with family/friends than control
				8.13 more days in respite care than control	109.9 more days in paid housing than control
					62 fewer days homeless than control
25	MA Housing & Shelter Alliance (2016)	900	Pre/post study		66% in stable accommodation
26	Mental Health Commission of Canada (2014)	1,158	RCT		62 per cent of HF participants were housed all of the time, 22 per cent some of the time, and 16 per cent none of the time; whereas 31 per cent of TAU participants were housed all of the time, 23 per cent some of the time, and 46 per cent none of the time



Sources

Aidala, Angela A., William McAllister, Maiko Yomogida, and Virginia Shubert. "Frequent Users Service Enhancement 'FUSE' Initiative: New York City FUSE II Evaluation Report." Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health (2013).

Barrow, Susan, Gloria Soto Rodriguez, and Pilar Cordova. "Final Report on the Evaluation of the Closer to Home Initiative." Corporation for Supportive Housing (2004).

Basu, A., Kee, R., Buchanan, D. and Sadowski, L. S. (2012), Comparative Cost Analysis of Housing and Case Management Program for Chronically Ill Homeless Adults Compared to Usual Care. Health Serv Res, 47: 523–543.

Knoxville Mayors' Office, The Knox County Health Department Epidemiology Program and the University of Tennessee College of Social Work. "Comparative Costs and Benefits of Permanent Supportive Housing in Knoxville, Tennessee" (2012).

Culhane, Dennis P., Stephen Metraux, and Trevor Hadley. "Public Service Reductions Associated with Placement of Homeless Persons with Severe Mental Illness in Supportive Housing." Housing Policy Debate13.1 (2002): 107-63.

Greenwood, Ronni Michelle, Nicole J Schaefer-McDaniel, Gary Winkel, and Sam Tsemberis. "Decreasing Psychiatric Symptoms by Increasing Choice in Services for Adults with Histories of Homelessness." American Journal of Community Psychology, Vol 36, Nos 3/4. (December 2005).

Gulcur, Leyla, Ana Stefancic, Marybeth Shinn, Sam Tsemberis, and Sean Fischer. "Housing, Hospitalization, and Cost Outcomes for Homeless Individuals with Psychiatric Disabilities Participating in Continuum of Care and Housing First Programmes." Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology. (April 2003), Vol 13, pp 171-186.

Hall, Elinor. "Frequent Users of Health Services: A Priceless Opportunity for Change." Health Policy and Management Consulting (August 2008).

Hirsch, Eric, and Irene Glasser. "Rhode Island's Housing First Program Evaluation." United Way of Rhode Island (December 2008).

Kertesz, Stefan G. et al. "Long-Term Housing and Work Outcomes Among Treated Cocaine-Dependent Homeless Persons." The journal of behavioral health services & research 34.1 (2007): 17–33. PMC. Web. 21 Oct. 2016.

Larimer, Mary E., Daniel K. Malone, Michael D. Garner, David C. Atkins, and Bonnie Burlingham. "Health Care and Public Service Use and Costs Before and After Provision of Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons With Severe Alcohol Problems." JAMA Network (2009).

Latham, Nancy, Emily Boer Drake, Rachel Cuevas, and Eiko Sugano. "Foster Youth Housing Initiative: Final Evaluation Findings." LaFrance Associates. (November 2008).

Martinez, T. E., and M. R. Burt. "Impact of Permanent Supportive Housing on the Use of Acute Care Health Services by Homeless Adults." Psychiatric Services 57.7 (2006): 992-99. Web.



Massachusetts Housing and Shelter Alliance. "Home & Healthy for Good: March 2012 Progress Report." (2012).

Massachusetts Housing and Shelter Alliance. "Home & Healthy for Good: June 2016 Progress Report." (2016).

Mental Health Commission of Canada. "National Final Report: Cross-Site At Home" (2014).

Milby, Jesse B. et al. "To House or Not to House: The Effects of Providing Housing to Homeless Substance Abusers in Treatment." American Journal of Public Health 95.7 (2005): 1259–1265. PMC. Web. 21 Oct. 2016.

Mondello, Melany, Anne B. Gass, Thomas McLaughlin, and Nancy Shore. "Supportive Housing in Maine: Cost Analysis of Permanent Supportive Pearson, Carol, Gretchen Locke, Ann Elizabeth Montgomery, and Larry Buron. "The Applicability of Housing First Models to People with Serious Mental Illness." Prepared for US Dept of Housing and Urban Development and Office of Policy Development and Research. (July 2007).

Mondello, Melany, John Bradley, Tony Chalmers McLaughlin, and Nancy Shore. "Cost of Rural Homelessness: Rural Permanent Supportive Housing Cost Analysis." State of Maine (May 2009).

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. "New York/New York III Supportive Housing Evaluation: Interim Utilization and Cost Analysis" (2013).

Pearson, Carol, Gretchen Locke, Ann Elizabeth Montgomery, Larry Buron. The Applicability of Housing First Models to Homeless Persons with Serious Mental Illness. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research (2007).

Perlman, Jennifer and John Parvensky. "Denver Housing First Collaborative Cost Benefit Analysis and Program Outcomes Report." Denver Housing First Collaborative (December 2006).

Rosenheck R, Kasprow W, Frisman L, Liu-Mares W. Cost-effectiveness of Supported Housing for Homeless Persons With Mental Illness. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(9):940-951. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.940.

Thomas, Lori M., Jeffery K. Shears, Melannie Clapsadl Pate, and Mary Ann Priester. "Moore Place Permanent Supportive Housing Evaluation Study: Year 1 Report." UNC Charlotte College of Health and Human Services (February 2014).

Trotz, Marc, Josh Bamberger, and Margot Antonetty. "Direct Access to Housing." San Francisco Department of Public Health (April 2004).

Tsemberis, Sam, Leyla Gulcur, and Maria Nakae. "Housing First, Consumer Choice, and Harm Reduction for Homeless Individuals With a Dual Diagnosis." American Journal of Public Health 94.4 (2004): 651–656.